

Homework Assignment On Propositional Logic

Due on November 24, 2020

I leave space between questions to allow you to state your answers consisely.

Exercise 1

For each statement below, say whether it is true or not. You don't need to justify your answer when you say 'true'. When you say 'false', describe a valuation that falsifies the statement.

(1) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow \neg p)), p \wedge q \models \neg r$

(2) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (r \rightarrow \neg q)) \models \neg r$

(3) $(p \wedge q) \vee r, p \models q$

(4) $p \vee (q \wedge \neg r) \models r \rightarrow p$

(5) $p \rightarrow \neg p \models \neg p$

(6) $\neg p \rightarrow p \models \neg p$

(7) $\models (\neg p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q)$

(8) $\models (\neg p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow (p \vee q)$

Exercise 2

For each of the two formulae below, write a formula that is equivalent to it and whose only connectives are \neg and \vee .

(1) $(\neg a \wedge (b \rightarrow c)) \rightarrow \neg(\neg b \vee c)$

(2) $(a \vee \neg(b \wedge c)) \wedge \neg(d \rightarrow (\neg a \wedge e))$

Exercise 3

For each statement below, say whether it is true or false. You don't need to justify your answer when you say 'true'. When you say 'false', give a counterexample (pick two sentences ϕ and ψ which falsify the claim).

Remember that a statement of the form *A if and only if B* means that *A* cannot be true if *B* isn't, but also that *B* cannot be true if *A* isn't. For instance the arithmetic statement *A number is divisible by 4 if and only if it is divisible by 2* is false, because some numbers are divisible by 2 without being divisible by 4.

- (1) A formula of the form $(\phi \vee \psi)$ is satisfiable if and only if ϕ is satisfiable or ψ is satisfiable.
- (2) A formula of the form $(\phi \vee \psi)$ is satisfiable if and only if ϕ is satisfiable and ψ is satisfiable.
- (3) A formula of the form $(\phi \vee \psi)$ is contradictory if and only if ϕ is contradictory or ψ is contradictory.
- (4) A formula of the form $(\phi \vee \psi)$ is contradictory if and only if both ϕ and ψ are contradictory.
- (5) A formula of the form $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is satisfiable if and only if both ϕ and ψ are satisfiable.

- (6) A formula of the form $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is contradictory if and only if ϕ is contradictory or ψ is contradictory.
- (7) A formula of the form $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is contradictory if and only if both ϕ and ψ are contradictory.
- (8) A formula of the form $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is a tautology if and only if ϕ is a tautology or ψ is a tautology.
- (9) A formula of the form $(\phi \wedge \psi)$ is a tautology if and only if both ϕ and ψ are tautologies.

Exercise 4

For each set of formulae below, describe all the valuations that satisfy it (no need to justify your answer).

- (1) $\{p_1, p_2 \rightarrow p_1, p_3 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_1)\}$
- (2) $\{\neg p_1, \neg(p_2 \rightarrow p_1), \neg(p_3 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_1))\}$
- (3) The following infinite set:
 $\{\neg p_1, \neg(p_2 \rightarrow p_1), \neg(p_3 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_1)), \neg(p_4 \rightarrow (p_3 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_1))), \dots,$
 $\neg(p_i \rightarrow (p_{i-1} \rightarrow (\dots \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_1)))) \dots\}$

Exercise 5 - Harder

For this exercise, you will need to use a separate sheet.

We consider a propositional language based on only three atomic sentences p_1 , p_2 and p_3 , and

which has two unary connectives \neg (with its standard semantics) and O (whose semantics is described below), and the standard binary connectives (with their standard semantics) as well as a connective for exclusive disjunction, noted $\underline{\vee}$.

To each valuation v we associate the set of atoms that v maps to true, noted $pos(v)$. For instance, if v assigns 1 to p_1 and p_3 and 0 to p_2 , then $pos(v) = \{p_1, p_3\}$.

We now define an ordering relation on valuations by:

$$u \leq v \text{ if } pos(u) \subseteq pos(v)$$

In words: u is ‘smaller’ than v if every atom that is true in u is also true in v (but possibly v makes true more atoms than u).

The syntax and semantics of O are given by:

- (1)
 - a. If ϕ is a formula, then $O\phi$ is a formula.
 - b. For any sentence ϕ and any valuation v , $v(O\phi) = 1$ if:
 - (i) $v(\phi) = 1$ and
 - (ii) there is no valuation u such that $u(\phi) = 1$ and $u < v$. (where $u < v$ means $u \leq v$ and $u \neq v$)
 And otherwise $v(O\phi) = 0$
- (2) In words: $O\phi$ is true relative to valuation v if v makes ϕ true, and there is no ‘smaller’ valuation u that also makes ϕ true. Otherwise it is false.

1. Find a formula equivalent to $O p_2$ in which O does not occur.

2. Find a formula equivalent to $O(p_1 \vee p_2)$ in which O does not occur.

3. Describe the set of valuations that make $O(p_1 \vee (p_2 \vee p_3))$ true.

4. Is any of the following formulae equivalent to $O(p_1 \vee (p_2 \vee p_3))$?

(a) $p_1 \underline{\vee} (p_2 \underline{\vee} p_3)$

(b) $p_1 \underline{\vee} (p_2 \vee p_3)$

(c) $p_1 \vee (p_2 \underline{\vee} p_3)$

(d) $p_1 \vee (p_2 \vee p_3)$

5. Describe all the valuations that make $O(p_1 \vee (p_2 \wedge p_3))$ true.

6. Is $O(p_1 \vee (p_2 \wedge p_3))$ equivalent to $(p_1 \underline{\vee} (p_2 \wedge p_3))$?

7. Is it possible to characterize the meaning of O by means of a truth-table?