

## Predicate Logic

### Ex. 6.

The following sentences are ambiguous. Explain the source of the ambiguity, and propose, *when it's possible*, the two distinct representations that can be associated with those two sentences.

- (5)
- a. Every student is reading an article.
  - b. Sam likes wild cats and dogs.
  - c. All the participants did not enjoy the meal.
  - d. Paul should be in São Paulo.

### Ex. 7.

Translate the following sentences into predicate logic (providing in each case the interpretation of non logical constants —e.g.  $L(x, y) = x$  loves  $y$ ). In case of ambiguity, give as many formulae as necessary.

- (6)
- a. John is taller than Marie.
  - b. Paul saw Lea and she did not see him.
  - c. If John is a man, then he is mortal.
  - d. A cat came in.
  - e. Some children are not ill.
  - f. All elephants have a trunk.
  - g. All men do not like Marie.
  - h. There is a song that no child sings.
  - i. If every man loves Marie, then she is happy.
  - j. All the farmers appreciate a member of parliament.

### Ex. 8.

The following sentences are characterized by the fact that the indefinite, under the scope of a universal quantification, is interpreted as universal. This situation is not surprising when one remembers the equivalence between  $\forall x(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$  and  $(\exists x\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$  (if  $\psi$  does not contain free occurrences of  $x$ ). On the basis of this equivalence, propose for each of the following sentence two translations in fol.

- (7)
- a. Paul gets upset as soon as someone is noisy.
  - b. Everybody gets upset if someone is noisy.
  - c. All the tourists who visit Paris are rich.
  - d. All the tourists who visit Paris love it.
  - e. All the tourists who visit a city are rich.
  - f. All the tourists who visit a city love it. .
  - g. If a farmer owns a donkey, he beats it.
  - h. Everyone is marked by an unrequited love.

### Ex. 9.

Show that the formulae of each following pair are not logically equivalent, by providing an example of a situation where one of the formulae is true and the other one false.

- (8)
- a.  $\forall x(A \vee B)$  vs.  $(\forall xA \vee \forall xB)$
  - b.  $\exists x(A \wedge B)$  vs.  $(\exists xA \wedge \exists xB)$